UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,606	02/06/2004	Ki Il Kim	70084-00003	7765
58688 7590 06/24/2009 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP P.O. BOX 2207			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, TUAN A	
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/773,606	KIM, KI IL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUAN A. TRAN	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ma	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 138,144,157,158 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 79-98,100-109,111-114,116-122,127, 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>/ 170</u> is/are withdrawn from consi 131,134,136,137,149,156 and 15				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 79-98,100-109,111-114,116-122,127,131,134,136-138,144,149 and 156-170.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,606 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment to claims filed on 05/04/2009 will not be entered. See MPEP 714.03(a)

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 79-98, 100-109, 111-114, 116-122, 127, 131, 134, 136-137, 149, 156, and 159-169) in the reply filed on 03/18/2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no serious burden on the examiner to examine all claims. This is not found persuasive because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given in the Office Action mailed on 03/17/2009 and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - Claims 79-98, 100-109, 111-114, 116-122, 127, 131, 134, 136-137, 149,
 156 and 159-169 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being
 unpatentable over Hashimoto (6,111,604) in view of Salazar (5,802,467)

Art Unit: 2618

and further in view of Tendler (5,555,286) & Broady (5,495,288) and Cheng (5,957,718).

Regarding claims 79-98, 100-109, 111-114, 116-122, 127, 131, 134, 136-137, 149, 156 and 159-169, Hashimoto discloses a portable handheld multimedia recorder/player/cellular telephone apparatus (See figs. 1A, 1B, 8) comprising: a microcontroller; memory including built-in memory and replaceable interactive memory card for storing audio/video contents wherein the audio/video contents comprises sounds (i.e. music), still images (i.e. picture), combined sound with moving images (video); means for recording and playback from the memory the audio/video contents, wherein means for recording comprises audio recorder including microphone, video recorder including camera; speaker, display, control buttons (playback device is widely known in the art to comprise volume control such as up/down or muting, forward, reverse, pause, etc.) for audio/video playback functions; card socket for receiving, securing and removing the replaceable interactive memory card; means for uploading/downloading (import/export) audio/video contents to/from external systems (i.e. PC or camera or telephones) via wired/wireless connections by utilizing coupled wired/wireless transceivers such as cellular telephone (cellular phone is widely known in the art to have different settings for indicating incoming such as vibration, ring tones, or silent) (See figs. 1A, 1B, 8-16 and col. 3 line 43 to col. 4 line 47, col. 6 line 17 to col. 10 line 40). However, Hashimoto does not explicitly mention that the apparatus further comprises: built-in cellular phone or satellite phone, remote wired microphone (i.e. electronic stethoscope), remote wired headset, a radio, a GPS device, environmental

Art Unit: 2618

sensors such as light, smoke or poisonous gas and the external system is Internet; means for recording and transmitting stored recorded content (by dialing a pre-stored number) including GPS information to external devices based upon activations of environmental sensors, or remote activation signals; and the socket and card configurations as recited in claims. Since Salazar teaches a portable mobile communication and information apparatus in a housing of handheld size and weight comprising: a built-in cell phone in the housing; means for detecting and capturing data including audio data of surrounding environment; means for storing the captured data; means for reproducing the captured data via its display or speaker; and means for transmitting captured data to remote location (See figs. 3-5 and col. 21 line 59 to col. 22 line 35) and satellite phone is known in the art at the time the invention was made; therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to configured the portable handheld multimedia recorder/player apparatus, as disclosed by Hashimoto, with the wireless communication capability as taught by Salazar for the advantage expanding the capability of the apparatus as well as allowing the user to conduct wireless communications. Since Hashimoto does suggest that the apparatus is capable to import/export contents to and from various types of electronic systems and or devices; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the apparatus with a remote wired microphone, a remote wired headset, a radio, a GPS device, and/or Internet upload/download capability for the advantage of expanding the capability of the apparatus to accommodate various user intended uses. Further, since the concept of

activating a system or device to record/transmit stored content including GPS information to a predetermined external system or device (by dialing a pre-loaded number) based upon activations of sensors such as motion sensor or remote activation signals is known in the art as taught by Tendler (See fig. 1 and col. 5 line 50 to col. 8 line 14) and Broady (See fig. 1 and col. 2 line 34 to col. 3 line 60); therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the concept of Tendler & Broady in modifying the apparatus, as disclosed by Hashimoto, with such activation means (i.e. with smoke, motion, or sound sensor) for the advantage of allowing user to remotely control the device as well as providing various means of automatically activation for the system. Finally, since Cheng teaches a device for receiving memory card of a portable communication device with the socket and card configurations as recited in claims (See figs. 1, 4-7 and col. 2 line 35 to col. 3 line 58); therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Cheng in modifying the replaceable interactive memory card with its card socket for the advantage of preventing incorrect insertion of the memory card as well as allowing user to judge whether the card is going to be inserted correctly or incorrectly based on the corner-cut of the memory card.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/773,606 Page 6

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUAN A. TRAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tuan A Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2618